Attorney Reference No. PH96038



ART UNIT:

1616

EXAMINER: LEVY, N.

(5500*98)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

XAVIER MARZE

SERIAL NO: 09/180,477

FILED: FEBRUARY 5, 1999

FOR: PROTECTION AGAINST TERMITES

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

I hereby certify that this paper, along with any other paper or fee referred to in this paper as being transmitted herewith, is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as First-Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on this 36th day of (

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Sirs:

In response to the Notice of Improper Request for Continued Examination (RCE) dated October 19, 2001, and the Notice of Abandonment dated October 24, 2001, in connection with the above-identified patent application, applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the abandonment decision for the reasons set forth below.

It is respectfully submitted that the Notice of Improper Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is incorrect because applicants never filed such a Request.

Applicants filed a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) for the above-identified application on August 27, 2001 (copy enclosed). Applicant was entitled to file the Continued Prosecution Application because the above-identified patent application was filed as a utility application on February 5, 1999 (i.e., before May 29, 2000).

Attorney Reference No. PH96038

(5500*98)

A copy of the Notice of Improper Request for Continued Examination is enclosed.

The Notice of Abandonment states that the present application has been deemed abandoned as a result of applicant's failure to respond to an Office Action dated February 28, 2001. Applicant asserts that it responded in a timely manner to the Office Action by filing the Continued Prosecution Application on August 27, 2001, and paying the applicable

extension fees.

The Notice of Abandonment also states that the RCE Request was improper because "CPA practice no longer applied." This statement is clearly in error. Applicant was entitled to file a CPA on August 27, 2001.

For the above reasons, applicant requests that the Notice of Improper Request for Continued Examination and the Notice of Abandonment be withdrawn.

Respectfully submitted,
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP

William E. McShane

Registration No. 32,707 Telephone: 302/658-9141

Enclosures ::ODMAWHODMA\CB;170094;1



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTO	RNEY DOCKET NO.
09/180,4		2 200 XX	X	022650-498
r 	/ 2hm.		EXAMINER LEVY, N	
WILLIAM CONNOLLY	E MCSHANE			
	RKET STREET	TOTAL LE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	2207		1616	
WILMINGT	FON DE 19899 w and/or attached a	n Office communication con	DATE MAILED:	JAN 1 62
proceeding.			Commissioner of Pat	ents and Trademarks



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office

Washington, D.C. 20231

www.uspto.udv

APPLICATION NUMBER

FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

ATTY. DOCKET NO JTITLE



		DATE MAILED:	1600/2900
		NOTICE OF IMPROPER REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE)	0
		equest for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 filed on	is
0	1.	Continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 does not apply to an application for a design pater Applicant may wish to consider filing a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b) or a CPA under 37 CFR 1.53(d).	n t.
		Continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 does not apply to an application that was filed before 8, 1995. Applicant may wish to consider filing a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.5 or a CPA under 37 CFR 1.53(d).	
7	` ;	Continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 does not apply to an application unless prosecution the application is closed. If the RCE was accompanied by a reply to a non-final Office action, the reply will be entered and considered under 37 CFR 1.111. If the RCE was not accompanie a reply, the time period set forth in the last Office action continues to run from the mailing date of action.	d by
	4.	The request was not filed before payment of the issue fee, and no petition under 37 CFR 1.313 granted. If this application has not yet issued as a patent, applicant may wish to consider filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.313 to withdraw this application from issue, or a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b).	eithe
	5.	The request was not filed before abandonment of the application. The application was abando or proceedings terminated on Applicant may wish to consider filing petition under 37 CFR 1.137 to revive this abandoned application.	
	6.	The request was not accompanied by the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) as required by 37 CFR 1.114. Since the application is not under appeal, the time period set forth in the final Office act notice of allowance continues to run from the mailing date of that action or notice.	
	7.	The request was not accompanied by a submission as required by 37 CFR 1.114. Since the application is not under appeal, the time period set forth in the final Office action or notice of allowance continues to run from the mailing date of that action or notice.	
No		If a request for a continued prosecution application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) has been filed	

the utility or plant application (including a previously filed CPA) that was filed on or after May 29, 2000, the request for a CPA has been treated as a RCE because the CPA practice no longer applies to such application. The constructive RCE, however, is improper for reason(s) indicated above.

A copy of this notice MUST be returned with any reply.

Direct the reply and any questions about this notice to:							
	, Examining Group						
(703) 30		•					



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED APPLICANT FILING DATE SERIAL NUMBER 022650-498 X MARZE . 02/05/99 09/180,477 JAN - 2 2002 **EXAMINER** HM12/1 LEVY, N WILLIAM E MOSHANE CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 1220 MARKET STREET 1616 P O BOX 2207 DATE MAILED: WILMINGTON DE 19899 NOTICE OF ABANDONMENT This application is abandoned in view of: 1. Applicant's failure to respond to the Office letter, mailed Applicant's letter of express abandonment which is in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.138. within the ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file the response received period set in the Office letter. ☐ Applicant's failure to pay the required issue fee within the statutory period of 3 months from the ___ of the Notice of Allowance. mailing date of ☐ The issue fee was received on ☐ The issue fee has not been received in Allowed Files Branch as of In accordance with 35 U.S.C. 151, and under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.316(b), applicant(s) may petition the Commissioner to accept the delayed payment of the issue fee if the delay in payment was unavoidable. The petition must be accompanied by the issue fee, unless it has been previously submitted, in the amount specified by 37 C.F.R. 1.17(I), and a verified showing as to the causes of the delay. If applicant(s) never received the Notice of Allowance, a petition for a new Notice of Allowance and withdrawal of the holding of abandonment may be appropriate in view of Delgar Inc. v. Schuyler, 172 U.S.P.Q. 513. 5.

Applicant's failure to timely correct the drawings and/or submit new or substitute formal as required in the last Office action. ☐ The corrected and/or substitute drawings were received on The RCE Request was improper-CPA practice volonger applied-see letterels 10/17/01. 6. The reason(s) below. Neitto

> NEIL S. LEVY PRIMARY EXA'

Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) 6/18/01

The below text replaces the pre-printed text under the heading? "Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes," on the backgood of the PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) form.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein. Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the Notice of Allowability. Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b) for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Draftsperson, MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made, other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes.

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit the drawing corrections within the time period set in the attached Office communication. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in **ABANDONMENT** of the application.